

# **DESIGN REVIEW PANEL MINUTES**

August 7, 2024, 2:30 pm - Online, City Hall

- Present:Al Waters (Panel Member)<br/>Verna Burton (Panel Member)<br/>Bill Laird (Panel Member Chair)<br/>M. Mason & M. McColl (Applicants DP-457)<br/>E. Gooch (Applicant DP-455)<br/>V. Topping & J. Kirkham (Applicants DP-456)<br/>Chris Larson (Senior Planner)<br/>Aubree Jeffrey (Planner)Absent:Trent Sismey (Panel Member)
- Dennis Lowe (Panel Member) Marc Lamerton (Panel Member)

## Application No. DP-457 981 12 Street SE – Terra Civis / Browne Johnson (amendment and variance)

Staff and the agents provided an overview of the proposal under current application, noting that this project has proceeded under a previous DP but that through development on the site the need for retaining walls has been clarified and the previously approved designs of two of the buildings requires change. Panel members asked questions to clarify and discussed the proposal, positively noting the proposed retaining wall's design and finishes at this key visible location. The DRP noted no concerns with the proposed buildings or the retaining wall variances. The DRP is supportive:

#### **Panel Recommendation**

THAT the DRP supports application DP-457 as presented.

### Application No. DP-456 2401 9 Avenue SW – Travelodge Motel / MQN Architects

Staff and the design team provided an overview of the proposal under current application. It was noted that the proposal site and landscape plan will be revised based on staff comments to incorporate pedestrian access and additional landscaping / street trees. Panel members discussed the proposal, including snow clearance, additional landscaping, and pedestrian circulation, noting their general support with these changes expected to be incorporated. There was discussion regarding enhancement of the street-facing south elevation potentially being enhanced, but it was noted that this proposed building is accessory to the existing building, is reasonably featured, and that the proposed landscaping contributes to interest in the building face. The height variance was considered minor. With this noted, the DRP is supportive:

#### **Panel Recommendation**

THAT the DRP supports application DP-456 as presented.